For the Northern District of California

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	
10	JOHN GIMBEL, No. C 11-05503 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER DENYING MOTION TO RECONSIDER
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	·
16	Pro se plaintiff recently filed a letter requesting "a full retake of events since last
17	scheduled appearance" (Dkt. No. 26). Although it is not entirely clear what plaintiff is
18	requesting in the letter, this order will construe it as a motion for reconsideration of a prior
19	dismissal order. This action was dismissed without prejudice for failure to serve and failure to
20	prosecute. Plaintiff's letter offers only unpersuasive excuses for failing to serve and prosecute
2122	this action. There is nothing in the letter to justify reconsideration of the dismissal order.
23	Therefore, plaintiff's motion for reconsider is DENIED .

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 20, 2012.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE